PHS Scientific House

International Journal of Pharma Research and Health Sciences

Available online at www.pharmahealthsciences.net



Original Article

Analytical Method Mevelopment and Validation of Doxofylline and Terbutaline Sulfate by RP-HPLC Method

M Bhavani^{*}, D Sireesha, M Akiful haque, S Harshini, Vasudha Bakshi, A Padmanabha rao Department of Pharmaceutical analysis and quality assurance, School of Pharmacy, Anurag group of institutions, Venkatapur, Rangareddy district, Telangana, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 24 Nov 2014 Accepted: 26 Dec 2014	The objective of present work was to develop and validate a simple, accurate, precise HPLC method for the estimation of doxofylline and terbutaline sulfate. The chromatographic separation was achieved on a Hypersil BDSC 18column(4.6x250 mm,5µmparticlesize).Different mobile phase systems in different proportions were tried. For HPLC method a mobile phase consisting of Methanol and Acetonitrile (80:20) produced symmetric peak shape with good resolution for both the drugs. Next, the drugs were chromatographed under different flow rates from which a flow
	phase systems in different proportions were tried. For HPLC method a
	mobile phase consisting of Methanol and Acetonitrile (80:20) produced
	symmetric peak shape with good resolution for both the drugs. Next, the
	drugs were chromatographed under different flow rates from which a flow
	rate of 1.0 ml/min was selected. The retention timesof Doxofylline and
	Terbutaline sulfate were found to be 2.869 min and 3.942 min,
	respectively.The proposed method was found to have excellent linearity in
	the concentration range of 20-80mg/ml with correlation coefficient
	r2=0.999 and 0.999 for Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfaterepectively.The
	method was validated for linearity, precision, LOD, LOQ and robustness.
	The proposed method optimized and validated as per ICH guidelines.

Keywords: Doxofylline, Terbutaline, chromatographed.

Corresponding author * Ms. M Bhavani, Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Quality Assurance, School Of Pharmacy, Anurag Group Of Institutions, Hyderabad, E mail: muthyalabhavani13@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

ABSTRACT

Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate are anti-asthmatic drugs. Doxofylline is chemically:7-(1,3-dioxolan-2-ylmethyl)-1,3-dimethylpurine-2,6-dione.It has a molecular weight of 266g/mol .Doxofylline is solid and it is soluble in methanol. Terbutaline sulfate is1, 3-

Benzenediol, 5-[2-[(1,1-dimethylethyl)amino]-1-

hydroxyethyl]-,sulfate(2:1)(salt). (±)-a-[(tert-

Butylamino)methyl]-3,5-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol sulfate (2:1)(salt).It has a molecular weight of 548g/mol.Terbutaline sulfate in low doses acts relatively,selectively at beta-adrenergic receptors to cause branchodilation and relax the pregnant uterus. Terbutaline sulfate is white to gray, crystalline powder and it is soluble in methanol. ^{1,2}



Fig 1: Doxofylline



Fig 2: Terbutaline sulfate

Literature survey revealed that several methods were reported for Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate individually and in combination sk.vsurendranath,gananadhamusamanthula,ShuklaD,C hakrabortyS, singh s vidhya t bhwari et al. Therefore, the main objective this study of was to attempttodevelopasimpleandrapidanalyticalmethodforsi multaneousestimationofcefiximetrihydrateandclavulana tepotassiuminasingledosageformandvalidatethepropose dassay. 3, 4

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Apparatus: The HPLC waters 2690/5 liquid chromatograph equipped with a PDA detector , the software installed was Empower, with 20µl loop, Hypersil-BDS C18 coloumn (250mmx4.6mm,5µl).The

electronic balance and a sonicator (Fast clean).

Chemicals and reagents

instrument

other

Active pharma ingredient of Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate was obtained as a gift sample from Aurobindo Pharma Ltd,purified water HPLC grade was prepared by triple glass distillation and filtered through a 0.45μ membrane filter.Methanol HPLC grade and Acetonitrile. HPLC was run at a flow rate of 1.0ml/min, 20µl of the sample was injected in the chromatographic system.Mobile phase comprising of Methanol:Acetonitrile at the ratio (80:20).The coloumn temperature was ambient with a detection wavelength of 282nm.

Preparation of standard solution

Stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 10mg of Doxofylline and 10mg of Terbutaline sulfate in mobile phase seperatly. Aliquots of standard solution of Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate were transferred into 10ml volumetric flasks and solutions were made upto the volume to yeid concentrations of Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate.⁵⁻⁷

Pharmaceutical formulation

Formulation DOXOLL-TL manufactured by FLOREAT PHARMA LTD was purchased from the local pharmacy in Hyderabad.

Preparation of sample solution

For analysis of commercial formulation, 10 tablets of DOXOLL-TL of Doxofylline 400 mg and Terbutaline sulfate 5mg were weighed the average weight was calculated and powdered. A quantity equivalent to 400mg of Doxofylline and 5mg of Terbutaline sulfate was weighed and transferred to a 100ml volumetric flask which contain mobile phase and then shake it for 10mins and sonicate it for 20mins. The solution was allowed to stand at a room temperature for 20-30mins and filterd it through a whatmann filter paper. Then suitable aliquots of formulation solution were prepared

Bhavani et al.

and injected into HPLC to obtain concentration in linearity range. ⁸⁻¹⁰

Validation of analytical method

ACCURACY: Accuracy is the closeness of results obtained by a method to the true value. It is the measure of exactness of the method. Recovery studies of the drug were carried out for determining accuracy parameter. Accuracy is the closeness of results obtained by a method to the true value. It is the measure of exactness of the method. It was done by mixing known quantity of standard drugs with the analyzed sample formulation and the contents were reanalyzed by the proposed method. This was carried out in 50% 100% and 150% levels.^{11, 12}

PRECISION: The precision of the analytical method was studied by analysis of multiple sampling of homogeneous sample. The Precision expressed as standard deviation or relative standard deviation.

a.System precision: Standard solution prepared as per test method and injected five times.

b.Method precision: Prepare five sample preparations individually using the single as per test method and injected each solution. ^{13, 14}

LINEARITY: The linearity of amalytical ,method is the ability to clicit test results that are directly proportional to the concentration of analyte in the sample within the given range. The linearity was performed by seven different concentrations, which were injected and calibration curve were plotted. The linearity of Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate was found to be in the range of $20-80\mu$ g/ml respectively The chromatograms of the resulting solutions were recorded. The plot showing linearity and range study for Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate is shown in figure.



Fig 3: Plot of linearity and range study for Doxofylline



Fig 4: Plot of linearity and range study for Terbutaline sulfate **Ruggedness:**

a) System to System variability: System to system variability study was conducted on different HPLC systems, under similar conditions at different times. Six samples were prepared and each was analyzed as per test method. A comparison of both the results obtained on two different HPLC systems, shows that the assay test method is rugged for System to system variables. ¹⁵

Robustness: The robustness of an analytical procedure are a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate changes in the method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during normal usage. Robustness of the method was investigated under a variety of conditions including changes of composition of buffer in the mobile phase and flow rate. % RSD of assay was calculated. ¹⁶⁻¹⁸

Limit of detection (LOD) and Limit of quantification (LOQ)

LOD of an analytical procedure is the lowest concentration of an analyte in a sample which can be detected but not necessarily quantitated as an exact value where as LOQ is the lowest amount of analyte in a sample which can be quantitatively determined with suitable precision and accuracy.¹⁹



Fig 5: Optimized chromatogram

Table 1: Results of validation parameters of RP-HPLC

SI.	Validation	Doxofylline	Terbutaline	Acceptanc
no	Parameter		sulfate	e Criteria
1	Linearity (in	20 - 80	20 - 80	Correlation
	μg)			coefficient
2	Regression	y=118765x+5643	y=12633x+6484.	$(R^2 = 0.999)$
	Line Equation	6	6	or 1)
3	R ² Value	0.999	0.999	
4	Precision			
	System	0.08	0.21	
	Precision			
	(%RSD)			RSD<2%
	Method	0.07	0.19	
	Precision(% PS	0.07	0.17	
	D)			
5		2 47	1 69	
6	LOD	7.50	5.13	_
7	Analysis of	00%	95%	-
/	markatad	9970	9570	05 105%
	formulation			95-105%
0		00 101	00 101	05 1050/
8	% Recovery	99-101	99-101	95-105%
9	Ruggedness	0.016	0.18	RSD<2%

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- The slope, intercept and correlation coefficient values were found to be 118765, 56436 and 0.999 and 12633, 6484.6 and 0.999 for Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate respectively.
- The LOD of Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate were found to be 2.47µg/ml and 1.69µg/ml respectively. The LOQ of Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate found to be 7.50µg/ml and 5.13µg/ml respectively.
- Precision of the developed method was studied. Low % RSD values indicate that the method is precise.

4. CONCLUSION

The proposed RP-HPLC method for the estimation of the Doxofylline and Terbutaline sulfate in the pharmaceutical dosage form were simple, reliable and selective providing satisfactory accuracy and precision with lower limits of detection and quantification. The recoveries achieved was good by RP-HPLC method. The methods can be recommended for routine and quality control analysis of these drugs in the pharmaceutical dosage forms. In this proposed method symmetrical peaks with good resolution were obtained. **Table 2: summury of analysis of doxofylline and terbutaline sulfate byRP-HPLC**method

Drugs	Labeled amount, mg/ tablet	Estimated Amount , mg/tablet	% Label claim	% *RSD
DOXO	400	397.56	99.39	1.53
TER	5	4.79	95.80	1.13

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am very much thankfull to school of pharmacy Anurag group of institutions, Hyderabad for giving permission to carry my research work.

6. REFERENCES

- Shukla D, Chakraborty S, Singh S, Mishra B. Doxofylline: a promising methylxanthine derivative for the treatment of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2009; 10: 2343–2356.
- Sankar J, Lodha R, Kabra SK. Doxofylline: The next generation methylxanthine. Indian J Pediatr 2008; 75: 251–254.
- 3. Page CP. Doxofylline: a "novofylline". Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2010; 23: 231–234.
- 4. Bone RC, Hiller C. Modern treatment of bronchial asthma. JACEP 1978; 7: 269–275.

Bhavani et al.

- Waldeck B. -Adrenoceptor agonists and asthma -100 years of development. Eur J Pharmacol 2002; 445: 1–12.
- Central drugs standards control organization. Fixed dose combinations approved by DCG (I) since 1961 till February, 2013. http://www.cdsco.nic.in/
- Hanna CJ, Eyre P. On the action of combination bronchodilators. Agents Actions 1979; 9: 301–309.
- Shenfield GM. Combination bronchodilator therapy. Drugs 1982; 24: 414–439.
- Rasmussen JB, Lunell E. Additive bronchodilator effects of Terbutaline sulphate and enprofylline in asthma. Eur J ClinPharmacol 1987; 32: 23–26.
- Stalenheim G, Lindstrom B, Lonnerholm G. Oral Terbutalinesulphate alone and in combination with theophylline: dose, plasma concentration, and effect in long-term treatment of bronchial asthma. Eur Respir J 1989; 2: 861–867.
- Bellia V, Battaglia S, Matera MG, Cazzola M. The use of bronchodilators in the treatment of airway obstruction in elderly patients. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2006; 19: 311–319.
- De AK, Bera AK, Pal B. Development and Validation of Same RP-HPLC Method for Separate Estimation of Theophylline and Doxofylline in Tablet Dosage Forms. J Curr Pharm Res 2012; 9: 55–58.
- 13. Gannu R, Bandari S, Sudke SG, Rao YM, Shankar BP. Development and validation of a stabilityindicating RP-HPLC method for analysis of doxofylline in human serum. Application of the method to a pharmacokinetic study. Acta Chromatogr 2007; 19: 149–160.
- Gu J, Li Y-Z. Study on pharmacokinetic interaction of doxofylline and moxifloxacin in rats. Chin Pharm J 2003; 38: 285–288.
- 15. Gupta A, Rawat S, Pandey A. Method Development and Photolytic Degradation Study of

Volume 2 (6), 2014, Page-502-506 Doxofylline by RP-HPLC and LC-MS/MS. Asian J Pharm Anal 2011; 1: 29–33.

- 16. Joshi HR. Patel AH. Captain AD. Spectrophotometric and reversed-phase highperformance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of Doxophylline in pharmaceutical formulations. J Young Pharm 2010; 2: 289-296
- Unping G, Hongbo W, Yinjie F. Determination of Doxofylline in Plasma by SPE-HPLC. China Pharmacist 2005; 4: 13.
- Lagana A, Bizzarri M, Marino A, Mancini M. Solid phase extraction and high performance liquid chromatographic determination of Doxophylline in plasma. Biomed Chromatogr 1990; 4: 205–207.
- Liu Y, Shen W, Shen J, Song Z, Xia Y. Determination of Theophylline and Doxofylline in Human Plasma by HPLC. Chinese J Pharmaceut 2010; 2: 25.